

Fall Prevention: An Educational Program to Improve Practices



Olivia Foster, BSN, RN, Stephanie Harmon-Weeks, BSN, RN, & Priti Hartt, RN

Background

- Falls are a serious problem for hospitalized patients, resulting in injuries, longer hospital stays, and even death.
- It is estimated that over 84% of all adverse events in hospitalized patients are related to falls.
- Fall risk has been reduced in studies where inter-professional team members were actively engaged in fall risk reduction efforts.
- This review is to determine the accuracy of instruments for detecting fall risk and predicting falls in acute hospitalized patients.

Practice Change

- Registered nurse's will determine which scale more accurately represents a patient's fall risk according to the primary nurses' judgment.

Methods

- Provided education on the Schmid scale as an alternative way to assess fall risk.
- Recruited nurses to select one of their patients to assess according to both the Conley and Schmid scales.
- Provide nurses with surveys and questionnaires to compare results.

Measures

Conley Scale

History

- On admission, history of falling in last 3 months (2)

Observations

- Impaired judgement/lack of safety awareness (3)
- Agitation (2)
- Impaired gait, shuffle/wide base, unsteady walk (1)

Direct Questions

- Do you ever experience dizziness of vertigo? (1)
- Do you ever wet or soil yourself on way to BR? (1)

Scoring: Score of 2 or greater or a fall during hospitalization should initiate fall prevention strategies.



Schmid Scale

Alberta Health Services

Schmid Fall Risk Assessment Tool - Acute Care

To be completed on all patients upon admission, post-fall, and/or when the patient's status changes. Score each area relating to patient's current status. Weights are in parentheses. Total weight at bottom.

Date of Initial Assessment: _____ Units: _____

Select only one indicator for each category

Category	Indicator	Score	Score
Mobility	(2) Ambulates with no gait disturbance		
	(1) Ambulates or transfers with assistive device		
	(0) Ambulates with unsteady gait and no assistance		
Mentation	(2) Alert oriented x3		
	(1) Provides confusion		
	(0) Confused at all times		
Elimination	(2) Continence / unresponsive		
	(1) Independent in elimination		
	(0) Independent with frequency or diarrhea		
Prior Fall History (within past 6 months)	(1) Needs assistance with toileting		
	(0) Incontinence		
	(0) Incidents		
Current Medications	(1) Yes - Before admission (Home or previous inpatient care)		
	(0) Yes - During the admission		
	(0) No / Unknown		
Total Scores			

Completed By: (signature / designation) _____ Date: (yyyy-mm-dd) _____

Total Score: _____

Score of 3 or more: Patient is at risk for falls and fall prevention interventions should be implemented - see reverse side.

Results

	YES	NO
Do you feel the Conley Scale is accurate in assessing a patient's fall risk?	4	3
Do you think a fall scale contributes to patient safety?	5	2
Had you heard of the Schmid fall scale before reading the education handout?	0	7
Is one scale a better predictor than the other?	5	2
Do you think implementing a new fall scale would help decrease falls on the unit?	4	3

Sample size: 7
All seven participants indicated that the Schmid scale better predicted their patient's fall risk.

Summary/Discussion

- The only significant difference between the two scales was that the Schmid scale included medications.
- 4:3 thought the Conley scale was accurate to those who thought it was not
- All surveyed RNs thought the Schmid scale was a better predictor, yet there was no significant findings for whether the RN's believed implementing a new scale would decrease falls or not
- According to these findings it would suggest that implementing the Schmid scale could be helpful

Limitations

- Small sample size
- After our project was initiated, EMMC switched the fall scale used on the inpatient floors so the Conley scale does not apply anymore

Conclusion

- This project compared a new fall scale with our hospitals old fall scale, so it does not pertain to our practice today
- A new project should be implemented to compare fall rates with our old scale and our new scale to verify that we are making progress towards safer inpatient floors with less falls!

References

Gowdy M, Godfrey S. Using tools to assess and prevent inpatient falls. Jt Comm J Qual Saf. 2003;29(7):363-368.

Petridou ET, Kyllikidis S, Jeffrey S, Chishti P, Dessypris N, Stone DH. Unintentional injury mortality in the European Union: how many more lives could be saved? Scand J Public Health. 2007;35:278-287. doi: 10.1080/14034940600996662.

Szumilas S, Groszek J, Kitt S, Payson C, Stack K. Take a second glance: A novel approach to inpatient fall prevention. Jt Comm J Qual Saf. 2004;30(6):295-302.

von Renteln-Kruse W, Krause T. Incidence of in-hospital falls in geriatric patients before and after the introduction of an interdisciplinary team-based fall prevention intervention. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2007;55(12):2068-2074.